DOCKETNO

CLERK

Keith Throckmorton

3:11-cv-1034 Vextec Corporation

> TRADEMARK NO. 7.006.947

7.016 825

TO:

Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DATE FILED 10/28/2011

OR TRADEMARK

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

Middle District of Tennessee

HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK

11/3/2011

Sentient Corporation

See Attached Complaint

In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been Middle District of Tennessee on the Tollowing filed in the U.S. District Court Patents (the patent action involves 35 U.S.C. § 292.): ☐ Irademarks or U.S. DISTRICT COURT

ATE INCLUDED	In the above—entitled case, the following INCLUDED BY			
	DATE OF PATION	☐ Answer	Cross Bill Other i	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO	OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		

(BY) DEPUTY CLERK

Ann Frantz

Copy I-Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 3-Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Director Copy 4—Case file copy

the extent unavailable to the general public (all of the foregoing collectively referred to as "Confidential floremation"). Consultant agrees: (i) to use my Confidential Information Company and not to disclose it to any person not authorized to receive it, to refrain from Company and not disclose it to any person not authorized to receive it, to refrain from using Confidential Information for the advancement of any personal interests, including personation of the interest of any future employer Consultant may have, and (ii) to comply with the policies and procedures of the Company regarding the safekeeping of Conflictrial Information.

(Exh. 4, para. 4 (a)).

25. The Sales Consultant Agreement also provides for attorneys fees and costs.

Specifically, Paragraph 11 (g) states:

g. Attorney Fees. If any action at law or in equity is necessary to enforce or interpret the terms of this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to reasonable attorney's fees, costs, and necessary disbursements in addition to any other relief to which such party may be entitled.

(Exh. 4, para. 11 (g)).

26. Mr. Thomas's consulting relationship with Vextee ended on May 1, 2008 through the execution of a Separation Agreement and Release of Claims, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 11 ("Thomas Separation Agmt."). This agreement specifically reminded Mr. Thomas of his continuing confidentiality obligations under the Sales Consultant Agreement. Specifically Paragraph 6 states:

6. Upon execution, Consultant shall return to the Company say and all Company documents, including, but not limited to, Confidential Information and Work Product (as defined in the Consulting Agreement) and return all Company keys and Company property. Consultant acknowledges that the has continuing obligations under the Consulting Agreement following termination of his services and that nobling in this Release Agreement waives, releases, or modifies those obligations, including without limitation Section of the Consulting Agreement.

(Exh. 11, para 6).

- II. Mr. Thomas's Involvement with Sentient, Sentient's Recruitment of the other Former Vextee Employees and Defendants' Use of Vextee's Trade Secrets and Patented Technology for Sentient's Benefit
- 27. Mr. Thomas left Vextec and became involved with Sentient. Mr. Thomas's relationship with Sentient began at least as early as July 2008 as evidenced by a July 8, 2008 email from Mr. Thomas to Mr. Line, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 12 ("July 8, 2008 Email"). Sentient's 2011 Annual Report Form, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 13, lists Mr. Thomas as Sentient's president. On information and belief, Mr. Thomas is also a shareholder of Sentient.
- 28. On and around 2008 Mr. Thomas induced Mr. Line, then a Vextee employee, to work against his Employer to the benefit of Sentient and other companies Mr. Thomas was affiliated with, including at least, Torit² For example, on and around July 2008, Mr. Thomas was conspiring with Mr. Line, then a Vextee employee, to solicit business for Sentient for the same prognostics and fatigue management technology developed by Vextee. (See Exh. 12) Additionally, on and around August 2008, Mr. Thomas was working with Mr. Line to solicit business for Torif from Mesoscettle.³
- Between 2009 and 2010, Sentient hired the other Former Vextee Employees away from Vextee.
- 30. On information and belief, on or about February 2009, Sentient hired Mr. Line away from Vextec to be Sentient's director of business development.
- On or about February 2010, Sentient hired Mr. Pulikollu away from Vextec to be Sentient's technical lead scientist.

² Upon information and belief Torii is a consulting company founded by Mr. Thomas, ³ Mesoscribe is a technology company that has done business with Vextee.

- 32. On or about November 2010, Sentient hired Mr. King away from Vextee to be Sentient's manager of business development and product marketing.
- 33. On information and belief, Sentient obtained Vextee Trade Secrets and ofther confidential information from the Former Vextee Employees both before and after their employment at Sentient. In employing the Former Vextee Employees, and having them perform work that is substantially similar to that performed by these employees at Vextee, Sentient knows, or has reason to know, that it is using Vextee confidential information and Trade Secrets derived from the Former Vextee Employees, who owe a duty to Vextee to maintain the secreey of Vextee Trade Secrets.
- 34. Sentient has used and is continuing to use Vextee's patented technology, Trade Secrets and other confidential information to compete with Vextee and obtain government SHIR/STTR grants and to pursue a variety of other commercial business opportunities.
- 35. Sentient's use of Vextee's patented technology has harmed and continues to harm Vextee. For example, in September 2010 both Vextee and Sentient submitted proposals to the Army SBIR program for "Fatigue Crack Initiation Prediction Tool for Rotorenth Spiral Bevel Gears." On information and belief, Sentient proposed technology that infringes the '825 patent, which caused Vextee to lose this business opportunity to Sentient.
- 36. Sentient's use of Vextee's Trade Secrets and other confidential information has also harmed and continues to harm Vextee. For example, in November 2010, Vextee submitted a grant proposal to the Department of Energy SBIR program on "Life and Reliability Prediction for Wind Turbine Gearbooks." Vextee did not win this grant. During the SBIR process, Vextee was informed that it did not win this grant because of the similarity between its proposal and work already being done by Sentient in another SBIR grant. Specifically, the Department of

Energy reviewer noted, "[t]his proposal looks a lot like the work that is currently being done by Sentient under an SBIR grant." During a de-brief with government employees after the filing of said grant proposal, Vextee was informed that its proposed approach was almost identical to Sentient's approach. On information and belief, Sentient used Vextee Trade Secrets and Confidential information to obtain its prior SBIR grant and its misappropriation of this information has caused Vextee to lose business opportunities.

- 37. Vextee is informed and believes that Sentient has obtained Vextee Trade Secrets and other confidential information from the Former Vextee Employees, and used such information and/or Vextee's patented technology to its benefit in at least the following instances:
 - A. Sentient Obtained Vextee Trade Secrets and Confidential Information From Mr. Thomas
- 38. On August 1, 2007, just over one year after Mr. Thomas's employment with Vextee began, Vextee terminated his employment with Vextee. A true and correct copy of Mr. Thomas's Employment Termination Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit 14 ("Thomas Employment Termination Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit 14 ("Thomas Employment Termination Agmi"). That same day, Vextee engaged Mr. Thomas as a consultant (Sales Consultant Agmt. Exh. 4). Then, less than a year later, on May 1, 2008, before his Sales Consultant Agreement was scheduled to expire, Vextee terminated its relationship with Mr. Thomas through a Separation Agreement and Release of Claims. (Exh. 11).
- 39. Around the same time that Mr. Thomas's consulting relationship with Vextec ended, and at least as early as July 2008, Mr. Thomas began a business relationship with Sentiem. (Exh. 12). Given his position at Vextec, Mr. Thomas possessed substantial proprietary information concerning current and prospective Vextec customers.
- 40. On information and belief, Mr. Thomas provided Sentient with Vextee Trade Secrets
 and other confidential information related to his work at Vextee. Sentient knew or had reason to

know that this information constituted Vextee Trade Secrets and Confidential Information and that it was acquiring this information by improper means. The Vextee Trade Secrets include, but are not limited to, technical data, proposals, marketing information, client contacts, unique know-how and other information relating to Vextee's technology.

- 41. On information and belief, while working with Sentient, Mr. Thomas recruited Masers. Line, Pulikollu, and King and induced them to violate Tennessee law and breach their contractual obligations with Vextee by disclosing Vextee Trade Secrets and Confidential Information.
 - B. Mr. Thomas Helped Sentient To Obtain Vextee Trade Secrets and Confidential Information From Mr. Line
- 42. At least as early as July 2008, while Mr. Line was still employed by Vextee, Mr. Line and Mr. Thomas were conspiring to develop business for Sentient for proposals covering the same prognostics and fatigue management technology developed by Vextee. For example, on July 7, 2008 and July 8, 2008 Mr. Line and Mr. Thomas exchanged a series of emails about potential elients for Sentient. (Exh. 12). Vextee was unaware of Mr. Line or Mr. Thomas's work for Sentient at this time.
- 43. On information and belief Mr. Line used Vextee Trade Secrets and Confidential Information, including, at least proprietary information concerning current and prospective Vextee customers to solicit clients for Sentient. Mr. Line shared Vextee Trade Secrets and Confidential Information regarding Vextee current and prospective customers with Mr. Thomas for the purposes of soliciting clients for Sentient.
- 44. In November 2008, during the same time period Mr. Thomas and Mr. Line were conspiring to develop business for Sentient, Vextee learned that Mr. Line and Mr. Thomas, were working together and moonlighting by soliciting business from another company called

Mesoscribe. Vextec company representatives met with Mr. Line and reminded him of his confidentiality obligations to Vextec.

- 45. On or around November 2008, Sentient submitted an SBIR proposal on "Model Updating and Uncertainty Management for Aircraft Prognostic Systems" to NASA and their proposal was funded. On information and belief the work Sentient proposed infringes the '947 patent. Additionally, Sentient's proposal concerned the same type of work done by Mr. Line as an employee of Vextee.
- On information and belief, Mr. Line shared his knowledge of the '947 patent with Sentient.
- 47. On information and belief, while still employed at Vextee, Mr. Line provided Sentient and Mr. Thomas with Vextee Trade Secrets and other confidential information related to his work at Vextee. Sentient knew or had reason to know that this information constituted Vextee Trade Secrets and confidential information and that it was acquiring this information by improper means. Sentient then used Vextee Trade Secrets and other confidential information in its grant proposal. The Vextee Trade Secrets include, but are not limited to, technical data, mathematical formulas, methods and techniques to integrate physics-based damage propagation models with diagnostic measures of current state of health in a mathematically rigorous method for the determination of remaining useful life and to represent the uncertainty associated with both diagnostic state estimation and loading conditions, and the propagation of such uncertainties to the remaining useful life prediction.
- 48. Just two months later, in mid-January 2009, both Vextee and Sentient submitted Navy SBIR grant proposals on a grant topic "Gearbox Load and Life Simulation Software." The

published abstract of Sentient's proposal is almost identical to Vextee's Proposal. Both Vextee and Sentient were awarded this grant.

49. On information and belief, while still employed at Vextee, Mr. Line supplied Vextee Trade Secrets and other confidential information he learned during the course of his employment to Sentient and Mr. Thomas. Sentient knew or had reason to know that this information constituted Vextee Trade Secrets and confidential information and that it was acquiring this information by improper means. Sentient then used Vextee Trade Secrets and other confidential information in its grant proposal. The Vextee Trade Secrets include, but are not limited to, technical data, mathematical formulas, methods and techniques to couple finite element analysis with continuum damage mechanics approaches to predict damage accumulation rates in the material microstructure that estimate gearbox life for experienced and anticipated mission profiles.

50. Two weeks after Senticut's Navy SBIR proposal was submitted, on February 2, 2009, Mr. Line informed Vestee's Chief Technology Officer, Robert Tryon, that he wished to retroactively resign from Vertee. Although Mr. Line had not informed any-body at Vextee that he wished to resign until that day, Mr. Line informed Mr. Tryon that he had resigned two weeks earlier. According to Mr. Line, he had been working as a "consultant" for Vextee during those two weeks, notwithstanding the fact that nobody at Vextee had approved of or agreed to any "consulting" relationship. On information and belief, Mr. Line attempted to backdate his resignation because of activities that he had engaged in with Senticut.

51. Vextee notified Mr. Line that his resignation was not effective until February, 2, 2009, the day Vextee was informed of that resignation. Mr. Line's exit interview occurred that

same day. During his exit interview, Mr. Line was reminded of his confidentiality obligations and was provided with a copy of his Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement.

- 52. On information and belief and unknown to Vextee at the time, after leaving Vextee, Mr. Line continued working with Sentient.
- 53. In September 2009, both Sentient and Vextee submitted proposals to the Navy SBIR program "Spline Health Prognosis via Physics Based Modeling Coupled with Component Level Tests." Sentient was awarded the grant. Sentient's proposal, which came after Mr. Line left Vextee, represented a departure from technology previously utilized by Sentient in favor of a proposal focused on Vextee's propriety technology. Indeed, the technology proposed by Sentient is a foundation of Vextee's business and is precisely what Vextee had previously been developing with the Navy.

54. On information and belief, Mr. Line, Mr. Thomas and Sentient used Vextee Trade Scerets and other confidential information in Sentient's proposal and Sentient knowingly benefited from its wrongful use of Vextee Trade Secrets and other confidential information. The Vextee Trade Secrets include, but are not limited to, technical data, mathematical formulas, methods and techniques to develop fretting fatigue analysis software with rigorous consideration of aurface roundness effects in the attick/slip phenomena and fatigue.

55. In January 2010, Sentient submitted a Navy SBJR proposal entitled "Prognostic & Health Management Technologies for Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV) Optimized PHM System for Unmanned Aerial Vehicles." Mr. Line performed similar work during his employment at Vextee. On information and belief, Mr. Line supplied Vextee Trade Secrets and other Confidential Information he learned during the course of his employment with Vextee to Sentient and Mr. Thomas. Sentient knew or had reason to know that this information constituted Vextee Trade Secrets and confidential information and that it was acquiring this information by improper means. Sentient then used Vextee Trade Secrets and other confidential information in its grant proposal. The Vextee Trade Secrets include, but are not limited to, technical data, mathematical formulas, methods and techniques to apply physics of failure algorithms to provide long time-horizon prediction of component reliability.

- 56. On information and belief, Mr. Line has continued to disclose Vextee Trade Secrets and other confidential information in his work for Sentient.
 - C. Sentient Obtained Vextee Trade Secrets and Confidential Information From Mr. Pulikollu
- 57. Having been awarded a grant involving Vextee technology, Sentient then recruited Raja Pulikollu who was a lead engineer at Vextee. In February 2010, Mr. Pulikollu resigned from Vextee and informed Vextee that he was going to be working with Sentient.
- 58. In his exit interview, on February 19, 2010, Mr. Pulikollu was provided a copy of his Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement, was reminded by the Chief Executive Officer of Vextee, Loren Nasser, of his continuing obligations under his Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement and the potential legal consequences of violating that agreement in light of his new employment with Sentient. (Exh. 9, Raja Pulikollu Exit Review & Checklist).
- 59. Vextec is informed and believes that Mr. Pulikollu has not complied with his Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement, and that Mr. Pulikollu has disclosed and continues to disclose Vextec Trade Secrets and other confidential information to Sentient, to the benefit of Sentient, after his separation from Vextec.
- 60. Around the same time Mr. Pulikollu left Vextee, Sentient was awarded a NASA SBIR grant for "Enhanced Prediction of Gear Tooth Surface Fatigue Life." The technology highlichted in the Sentient NASA SBIR abstract is similar or identical to Vextee proprietury

technology worked on and/or developed by Mr. Pulikollu while he was at Vextee. On information and belief, Mr. Pulikollu and/or Mr. Line used Vextee Trade Secrets and other confidential information in Sentient's proposal for and work on its NASA SBIR Grant. Sentient knew or had reason to know that this information constituted Vextee Trade Secrets and confidential information and that it was acquiring this information by improper means. Sentient used Vextee Trade Secrets and other confidential information in its grant proposal to compete with Vextee. The Vextee Trade Secrets include, but are not limited to, technical data, mathematical formulas, methods and techniques to develop software that uses design parameters of a gaarbox along with a mission load spectrum coupled with damage accumulation algorithms that take into account fatigue initiation at the level of the material microstructure to estimate current gearbox health and remaining life.

61. In September 2010, Vextee and Sentient both submitted proposals to the Army SBIR program for "Patigue Crack Initiation Prediction Tool for Rotorcraft Spiral Bevel Gears." Sentient was awarded this grant. The technology described in Sentient's proposal abstract is the same technology that Mr. Pulikolio had been developing for Vextee. On information and helicf, the work proposed by Sentient infringes Vextee's '825 patent. On information and belief, Mr. Pulikolio shared his knowledge of Vextee's patent with Sentient.

62. On information and belief, Mr. Pulikollu also supplied Vextee Trade Secrets and other confidential information he learned during the course of his employment with Vextee to Sentient. Sentient knew or had reason to know that this information constituted Vextee Trade Secrets and confidential information and that it was acquiring this information by improper means. Sentient used Vextee 1 rade Secrets and other confidential information in its grant pronosal to compete with Vextee Trade Secrets and confidential information.

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

2011 OCT 28 PM 3: 39

VEXTEC CORPORATION,) MIDBLE DISTRICT OF T		
Plaintiff,	}		
v.) Civil Action No.:		
SENTIENT CORPORATION; WARD THOMAS; KEVIN LINE; RAJA PULIKOLLU; and MATTHEW KING,)) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)		
Defendants	{		

COMPLAINT

Sentient Corporation ("Sentient"), is willfully violating Vextee Corporation's ("Vextee") intellectual property rights. Sentient hired away Vextee employees and is exploiting their knowledge to infringe Vextee patents, misappropriate Vextee trade secrets and unfairly compete with Vextee. Sentient is also infringing Vextee's trademark rights through its use of the mark DigitalTwin. Accordingly, Vextee files this suit for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, et seq., undermark infringement under 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114 and 1125, and for numerous violations of Temperson Inv.

Vextee previously employed Kevin Line, Raja Pulifikollu, Matthew King and Ward Thomas (collectively "Former Vextee Employees"). In addition, Vextee engaged Mr. Thomas as a consultant after his employment relationship ended. By virtue of their employment with Vextee, the Former Vextee Employees were provided with confidential and proprietary information relating to Vextee's business practices and strategies, including, but not limited to, technical data, mathematical formulas, methods and techniques to couple finite clement analysis with continuum durange mechanics approaches to predict durange accumulation rates, and the include technical data, mathematical formulas, methods and techniques to develop a probabilistic fatigue crack initiation prediction tool using finite element methods, microstructure models, and probabilistic physics-based fatigue damage methods to predict the influence of the variation in manufacturing processes (earburization, shot pecning), and material macro and microstructural properties on seen fatigue life.

- 63. On information and belief, Mr. Pulikollu has continued to disclose Vextec Trade Secrets and other confidential information in his work for Sentient.
 - Sentient Obtained Vextee Trade Secrets and Confidential Information from Mr. King
- 64. In November 2010, Mr. King resigned from Vextee. Although he informed Vextee when he resigned that he was not going to work for Sentient, he began employment with Sentient shortly after departing his employ with Vextee.
- 65. In his exit interview, on November 12, 2010, Mr. King was provided a copy of his Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement and reminded of his continuing obligations under his Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement. (Exh. 10, Matthew King Exit Review & Checklish.)
- 66. On information and belief, in violation of his Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement, Mr. King provided Sentient with information relating to Vextee's Army SBIR proposal for the program "Patigue Crack Initiation Prediction Tool for Rotoreraft Spiral Bevel Gears" while still in Vextee's employ. Vextee's proposal, which Mr. King worked on while an employee of Vextee, contained Vextee Trade Secrets and other confidential information. Additionally, on information and belief, the work proposed by Sentient infringes Vextee's '825 patent. Given the timing of Mr. King's hiring by Sentient, he was undoubtedly speaking with Sentien personnel at the time Vextee was preparing this SBIR proposal. Sentient knew or had

reason to know that this information constituted Vextee Trade Secrets and confidential information and that it was acquiring this information by improper means. Sentient then used Vextee Trade Secrets, other confidential information, and patented technology in its grant proposal to compete with Vextee. The Vextee Trade Secrets and confidential information include technical data, mathematical formulas, methods and techniques to develop a probabilistic fatigue crack initiation prediction tool using finite element methods, microstructure models, and probabilistic physics-based fatigue damage methods to predict the influence of the variation in manufacturing processes (carburization, shot poening), and material macro and microstructural proposeries on eare fatigue life.

67. On information and belief, Mr. King has continued to disclose Vextee Trade Secrets and other confidential information in his work for Sentient.

III. Sentient's Infringement of Vextec's Virtual Twin® Mark

- 68. Having hired away Vextee's employees, misappropriated Vextee's trade secrets, and infringed Vextee's patents, Sentient is now violating Vextee's Trademark rights, as well.
- 69. Vextec has expended a significant amount of resources to develop a market presence, including widely and prominently advertising and using the Virtual Twin® mark in all manner of commercial traffic and has built up substantial goodwill in its Virtual Twin® mark. For example, Vextec uses the Virtual Twin® mark prominently on its website and marketing its services and software under the Virtual Twin® mark.
- 70. Vextee owns U.S. Trademark Registration No. 3,926,714 for "business data analysis services, namely analyzing data and predicting material failure and product reliability for business profitability purposes, business data analysis relating to long-term product warranty costs and corporate profitability," a true and correct copy is attached hereto as Exhibit 15.

- Despite Vextec's long-established rights in its Virtual Twin[®] mark and well after Vextee established those rights Sentient commenced its use of the mark "DigitalTwin" for identical services.
- 72. The similarity between these two marks have been noted by eustomers, For example, reviewing Vextee's 2011 SBIR/STTR proposal for "Life and Reliability Prediction for Wind Turbine Gearboxes" the Department of Energy reviewer remarked on the similarity of Vextee's and Sentient's products and noted "[e]ven similarity of the terminology "virtual twin" used by Vextee vs. "digital twin" used by Sentient is striking." See Exhibit 16, a true and correct copy of the 2011 Review Form For SBIR/STTR Phase I Grant Application Titled "Life and Reliability Prediction for Wind Turbine Gearboxes" propsed by Vextce.
- 73. On June 9, 2011, Vextee sent a cease and desist letter to Sentient demanding that Sentient cease all use of the mark "DigitalTwin."
- 74. On July 14, 2011, Sentient's counsel sent Vextee a letter improperly denying use, and alternatively arguing its right to use its DigitalTwin mark in the future.
- 75. Despite Sentient's denial that it uses the mark DigitalTwin, an online profile of Sentient printed on July 18, 2011, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 17, confirms Sentient's use of its DigitalTwin mark in connection with its marketing to commercial uses.
- 76. Sentient's actions are knowing willful and deliberate and done with intent to trade off the goodwill and reputation of Vextec's mark.

COUNT I - INFRINGEMENT OF THE '947 PATENT (Against Sentient)

77. Vextee incorporates by reference its allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 76 as if fully restated in this paragraph.

78. Plaintiff Vextee is the assignee of and the owner of all right, title and interest to the '947 patent. Vextee has the legal right to enforce the patent, sue for infringement, and seek conitable relief and damages.

79. Sentient has been infringing and continues to infringe one or more of the claims of the '947 patent through at least the acts of making, using, selling, offering for sale and/or importing infringing products, methods, process, systems, and/or services. Sentient's infringement includes, without limitation, Sentient's model updating and uncertainty management for aircraft prognostic systems. Sentient has indirectly infringed the '947 patent by inducing the infringement of the '947 patent by inducing the infringement of the '947 patent by inducing the infringement of the '947 patent. Sentient has actively and knowingly induced infringement of the '947 patent by providing its customers and others with detailed explanations, instructions, information, and support services related to airangements, applications, and uses of its products and services that promote and demonstrate how to use its products and services in an infringing manner. Sentient has also knowingly contributed to infringement by others such as customers, within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 2716.)

80. Sentient's wrongful conduct has caused Vextee to suffer irreparable harm resulting from the loss of its lawful patent rights to exclude others from making, using, selling, offering to sell and importing the patented inventions. On information and belief, Sentient will continue these infringing acts unless enjoined by this Court.

COUNT II - INFRINGEMENT OF THE '825 PATENT

- 81. Vextee incorporates by reference its allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 80 as if fully restated in this paragraph.
- 82. Vextee is the assignce of and the owner of all right, title and interest to the '\$25 patent. Vextee has the legal right to enforce the patent, sue for infringement, and seek equitable relief and damages.
- 83. Sentient has been infiringing and continues to infiringe one or more of the claims of the '825 patent through at least the acts of making, using, selling, offering for sale and/or importing infiringing products, methods, process, systems, and/or services. Sentient's spiral bevol gears. Sentient has indirectly infiringed the '825 patent by inducing the infiringement of the '825 patent and contributing to the infiringement of the '825 patent spiral bevol gears. Sentient has notively and knowingly induced infiringement of the '825 patent by providing its customers and others with detailed explanations, instructions, information, and support services related to arrangements, applications, and uses of its products and services that promote and demonstrate how to use its products and services in an infringing manner, and upon information and belief, those customers and others have used the products and services in an infringing manner. Sendent has also knowingly contributed to infringement by others such as customers, within the meaning of \$3 U.S.C. 8 271(c.).
- 84. Sentient' wrongful conduct has eaused Vextee to suffer irreparable harm resulting from the loss of its lawful patent rights to exclude others from making, using, selling, offering to sell and importing the patented inventions. On information and belief, Sentient will continue these infrincing eats unless enjoined by this Court.

COUNT III - WILLFULNESS

- 85. Vextec hereby realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 84 of its Complaint.
- 86. Vextee alleges upon information and belief that, as of the date of the filing of this Complaint, Sentient knowingly or with reckless disregard willfully infringed the '947 and '825 patents. On information and belief, the Former Vextee Employees, who are now part of Sentient, are aware of these patents as a result of their past work with Vextee. Sentient acted despite an objectively high likelihood that its actions constituted infringement of Vextec's valid patent rights.
- 87. This objectively-defined risk was either known or so obvious that it should have been known to Sentient. Vextee further alleges that Sentient will continue to willfully infringe the '947 patent and the '825 patent subsequent to the filling of this Complaint unless enjoined by this Court. Vextee seeks enhanced damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284.

COUNT IV - TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT, FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN, PASSING OFF AND UNFAIR COMPETITION UNDER THE LANHAM ACT (15 U.S.C. 88 1114, 1125) (Against Sentient)

- 88. Vextee incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 87 of its Complaint.
 - 89. Vextee owns U.S. Trademark Registration No. 3,926,714 for Virtual Twin.
 - 90. Vextee owns common law (unregistered) trademarks for Virtual Twin.
- 91. Vextec's Virtual Twin Trademarks, including its common law trademarks, are distinctive and were so prior to Defendant's acts complained of in this Complaint.
- 92. Sentient's unauthorized use of "DigitalTwin," for the same product that Vextec's Virtual Twin® trademark is used, is likely to cause confusion, or to cause mistake or to deceive

as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendants, their products, and/or commercial activities by or with Vextee, and thus constitutes trademark infringement, false designation of origin, passing off and unfair competition in violation of the Lanham Act.

93. Sentient's acts constitute knowing, deliberate and willful infringement of Plaintiff's registered Virtual Twin[®] mark and render this case an "exceptional case" under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a).

94. As a direct and proximate result of Sentient's wrongful conduct, Sentient has caused Vextee irreparable harm and injury.

COUNT V - COMMON-LAW TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT (Against Sentient)

95. Vextec incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 94 of its Complaint.

96. Sentient's actions, as described above, are likely to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive as to the affiliation, connection, or association of Sentient with Vextee, or as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Sentient, their products, and their commercial activities by or with Vextee such that Sentient's acts constitute infringement of Vextee's proprietary rights in the Vextee Mark and misappropriation of Vextee's goodwill in that mark, under Transesse common law.

COUNT VI -UNFAIR AND DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES UNDER THE TENNESSEE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT (Against Sentient)

Vextec incorporates by reference the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through
 of its Complaint.

98. Sentient's actions, as described above, are likely to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Sentient, their products, and/or their commercial activities by or with Vextee, and thus constitute unfair or deceptive practices in violation of the Tennessee Consumer Protection Act that has damaged Vextee. Tenn. Code Ann. 8 47-18-104.

- Due to Defendants' violation of the Tennessee Consumer Protection Act, Vextee should be awarded its reasonable attorney's fees and costs. Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-18-109(e).
- Moreover, because Defendants' unfair and deceptive practices have been engaged in willfully and knowingly, Vexice seeks treble the actual damages sustained. Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-18-109(a)(3).

COUNT VII - UNFAIR COMPETITION AND TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH BUSINESS RELATIONS (Against All Defendants)

- Vextee hereby realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 100 of its Complaint.
- 102. Sentient's actions, as described above, are likely to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive as to the affiliation, connection, or association of Sentient with Vextee, or as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Sentient, their products, and their commercial activities by or with Vextee such that Sentient's acts constitute unfair competition under Tennessee common law.
- 103. Mr. Thomas and Mr. Linc unfairly competed with Vextee and tortiously interred with Vextee's business relations by using their knowledge of Vextee customers to take business away from Vextee for the benefit of Sentient and/or Torti.
- 104. Mr. Thomas and Mr. Line also unfairly competed with Vextee and tortiously interfered with Vextee's business relations by holding themselves out to Vextee customers and others as affiliated with Vextee, when in fact they were working for Sentient and/or Torii, therefore improperly using Vextee's goodwill for the benefit of Sentient and Torii.

- Defendants have unfairly competed with Vextee by wrongfully acquiring Vextee
 Trade Secrets and confidential information and by using and disclosing Vextee confidential
- information in marketing competing products, including by applying for SBIR and STTR grants.
- By reason of these actions, Vextee has been and will continue to suffer irreparable
- 107. Such unfair competition and interference with Vextec's business relations cannot be compensated by monetary damages alone.
- 108. Unless Defendants are enjoined from their unfair competition and tortious interference, Vextec will suffer great and irreparable harm.

COUNT VIII - MISAPPROPRIATION OF TRADE SECRETS (Against All Defendants)

- 109. Vextec hereby realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 108 of its Complaint.
 - 110. Under Tennessee law, "misappropriation" of a trade secret means:
 - (A) Acquisition of a trade secret of another by a person who knows or has reason to know that the trade secret was acquired by improper means; or
 - (B) Disclosure or use of a trade secret of another without express or implied consent by a person who:
 - (i) Used improper means to acquire knowledge of the trade secret; or
 - (ii) At the time of disclosure or use, knew or had reason to know that that person's knowledge of the trade secret was:
 - (a) Derived from or through a person who had utilized improper means to acquire it;
 - (b) Acquired under circumstances giving rise to a duty to maintain its secreey or limit its use; or
 - (c) Derived from or through a person who owed a

duty to the person seeking relief to maintain its secrecy or limit its use

Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-25-1702.

- 111. Former Vextec Employees have misappropriated Vextec Trade Secrets in violation of their Agreements with Vextec and Tennessee law.
 - 112. Sentient has misappropriated Vextee Trade Secrets in violation of Tennessee law.
- Vextee is entitled to an injunction against the Defendants preventing them from continuing to misappropriate Vextee's Trade Secrets. Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-25-1703.
- 114. In addition to an injunction, Vextec is entitled to recover the actual loss caused by Defendants' misappropriation and any unjust enrichment caused by misappropriation that is not taken into account in computing actual loss. Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-25-1704.
- 115. In lieu of the damages described in the preceding paragraph, Vextec is entitled to recover a reasonable royalty for Defendants' unauthorized disclosure or use of Vextec Trade Secrets. Term. Code Ann. § 47-25-1704.
- 116. Moreover, because Defendants' misappropriation of Vextee Trade Secrets is willful and mallicious. Vextee is entitled to an award of exemplary/enhanced damages and attorney's fees. Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-25-1704(b) and Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-25-1705.
 - Defendants' misappropriation is causing great and irreparable harm to Vextee.
- 118. Such damage will continue to occur unless Defendants are enjoined from further disclosure, misappropriation, and/or use of Vextec Trade Secrets.

COUNT IX - BREACH OF CONTRACT (Against Former Vextec Employees)

119. Vextec hereby realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 118 of its Complaint. identification of current and prospective Vextee customers. As part of their employment and consulting arrangements, the Former Vextee Employees agreed to restrictions regarding to whom they could disclose confidential information acquired while working for Vextee. Mr. Thomas left Vextee and eventually became the President of Sentient Corporation, a direct competitor of Vextee. Sentient then hired the other Former Vextee Employees have unlawfully used Vextee cause of their work for Sentient, the Former Vextee Employees have unlawfully used Vextee patented technology and divulged Vextee's trade secrets and other confidential information in violation of both of their Agreements with Vextee and Tennessee law. Sentient has used Vextee's patented technology, trade secrets and confidential information to compete with Vextee. Accordingly, Vextee hereby sues the Former Vextee Employees for, among other things, misuppropriation of trade secrets and breach of contract and Sentient for, among other things, willful patent infringement, trademark infringement and misuppropriation of trade secrets.

PARTIES AND PATENTS

- 1. Vextee Corporation is a Delaware Corporation with its principal place of business at 750 Old Hickory Blvd # 270, Berntwood, Tennesses 37027-4541. Vextee creates software that assists aerospace, automotive, electronics, energy, heavy equipment industry and medical device companies in predicting the life expectatory of their manufactured parts. In 2009 Forbes Magazine lauded Vextee as America's most promising company predicting that its Virtual Life Management Product for forecasting failure will havete the pace of innovation.
- 2. Vextee owns all right, title, and interest in, and has standing to sue for infringement of the following: United States Patent No. 7,006,947, issued on February 28, 2006 for a "Method and Apparatus For Predicting Failure in a System" (the "'947 patent"), a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1; and United States Patent No. 7,016,825, issued on March

- The Former Employees Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreements are valid
 and enforceable contracts.
- 121. In breach of their Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreements, the Former Vextee Employees have failed to maintain the confidentiality of Vextee Trade Secrets and confidential information. (See Exhs. 3, 5-7 at para, 1 and 2).
- In breach of his Sales Consultant Agreement, Mr. Thomas failed to maintain confidentiality of Vextec Trade Secrets and confidential information (See Exh. 4 at Para. 4(a)).
- 123. Vextee has been and continues to be greatly and irreparably harmed by the Former Vextee Employees' breaches of contract.
- 124. Such harm will continue unless and until the Former Vextee Employees are restrained by this Court.

COUNT X - Procurement of Breach of Contract (Against Sentient)

- 125. Vextee realleges and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein paragraphs 1 through 124 of this Complaint.
- 126. A legal enforceable contract existed as between Vextee and each of the Former Vextee Employees.
- 127. Sentient knew of each of the Former Vextee Employees' contracts with Vextee and the prohibitions contained therein.
- 128. Sentient intended to induce (and intends to continue to induce) each of the Former Vextee Employees to breach his agreement with Vextee.
- 129. In taking actions that induced the breach (and which continue to induce the hreach) of each Former Vextee Employees' agreements with Vextee, Sentient has acted recklessly and/or maliciously with regard to the rights of Vextee.

- 130. The contracts between Vextec and each of the Former Vextee Employees were, in fact, breached (and continue to be breached) and the inducement by Sentient was and continues to be the proximate cause for each such breach.
- 131. Vextee has been and continues to be greatly and irreparably injured by the Former Vextee Employees' breaches of contract and is entitled to enhanced damages and attorney's fees. Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-50-109.

COUNT XI - Procurement of Breach of Contract (Against Mr. Thomas)

- 132. Vextee realleges and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein paragraphs 1 through 131 of this Complaint.
- A legal enforceable contract existed as between Vextee and each of the Former Vextee Employees.
- 134. Mr. Thomas knew of each of the other Former Vextee Employees' contract with Vextee and the prohibitions contained therein.
- 135. Mr. Thomas intended to induce (and intends to continue to induce) each of the other Former Vextee Employees to breach his agreement with Vextee.
- 136. In taking actions that induced the breach (and which continue to induce the breach) of each of the other Former Vextee Employees' agreements with Vextee, Mr. Thomas has acted recklessly and/or maliciously with regard to the rights of Vextee.
- 137. The contracts between Vextee and each of the other Former Vextee Employees were, in fact, breached (and continue to be breached) and the inducement by Mr. Thomas was and continues to be the proximate cause for each such breach.

138. Vextec has been and continues to be greatly and irreparably injured by the Former Vextec Employees' breaches of contract and is entitled to enhanced damages and attorney's feex. Tenn. Code Ann. § 47:50-109.

Count XII - Breach of Employees' Duty of Loyalty (Against Mr. Line and Mr. King)

- 139. Vextee realleges and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth herein paragraphs 1 through 138 of this Complaint.
- 140. Mssrs. Line and King owed Vextee duties to perform their employment in good faith and in loyalty to Vextee.
- 141. As employees of Vextee, Mr. Line and Mr. King had been given the trust and confidence to have access in the scope of their employment to Vextee Trade Secrets and other information. Mssrs. Line and King had a duty of loyalty to Vextee and a duty to maintain Vextee's confidential information.
- 142. Vextoc is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Mssrs. Line and King breached their duty of loyalty to Vextec while they were still employed by Vextec by disclosing Vextoc Trade Secrets and other confidential information to Sentient, including, but not necessarily limited to, Vextoc SBIR proposals for Sentient's benefit in its competition with Vextoc.
- 143. As a consequence of their disloyal acts, Mssrs. Line and King did not act in the best interest of Vextee while still employed by Vextee and still receiving compensation from Vextee.
- 144. Vextee has been damaged by Mssrs. Line and King's breaches of their duties of loyalty.

COUNT XIII - UNJUST ENRICHMENT (Against Sentient)

- 145. Vextec hereby realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 144 of its Complaint.
- 146. Sentient's willful and wrongful use of Vextee's confidential information provide Sentient with an unfair competitive advantage and the use of Vextee's property without having incurred the expense and time for research, development, commercialization, and marketing techniques to develop Vextee's confidential information.
- 147. As a direct result of Scntient's unlawful acquisition and use of Vextee confidential information, Scntient has been unjustly enriched to the detriment of Vextee.
- 148. Unless Sentient is restrained and enjoined from the wrongful disclosure and use of Vextec Trade Secrets and confidential information, Vextec will suffer great and irreparable horn.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, as to Counts I through XIII Vextee prays that:

- This Court enter a judgment for Vextee and against Defendants on all counts of Vextee's Complaint;
- This Court adjudge and decree that the Sentient has infringed, contributorily infringed and induced infringement of the '947 patent;
- This Court adjudge and decree that the Sentient has infringed, contributorily infringed and induced infringement of the '825 patent;
- This Court enter judgment that Sentient knowingly and willfully engaged in such infringement;
- c. This Court preliminarily and permanently enjoin Sentient, their parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, representatives, successors and assigns, and all others in active concert or participation with them from infringing the Patents-in-Suit,
- f. This Court order an accounting to determine the damages to be awarded to Vextee

- as a result of Sentient's infringement, including sales not presented at trial and award additional damages for any such infringing sales;
- g. This Court order an award to Vextee of such damages as it shall prove at trial against Defendants that are adequate to compensate Vextee for Sentient's infringement, said damages to be no less than a reasonable royalty together with interest and costs:
- This Court order an award to Vextee of enhanced damages of up to three times the amount of compensatory damages because of Defendants' willful infringement:
- This Court assess pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs against Defendants, together with an award of such interest and costs, in accordance with 35 11.5.C. 6 284;
- This Court render a finding that this case is "exceptional" and award to Vextee its costs and reasonable attorneys" fees, as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 285;
- k. This Court proliminarily and permanently enjoin Sentient, its employees, owners, agonts, offenes, directors, attempts, speries, affiliates, assibiliates, and successors and assigns, and all those in active concert or having knowledge of the causes of action, from using yextics 9 Virtual 1970 in Trademark, alone in combination with any other word(s), term(s), designation(s), mark(s), and or design(s), as well as all confidently similar Tunis's, including "Digital Twin;"
- Awarding Plaintiff enhanced actual damages and an enhanced accounting of Defendant's profits from its acts of trademark infringement and unfair competition, together with pre-judgment interest, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117 and state law;
- m. Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117;
- n. Mr. Thomas, the Former Vextee Employees and Sentient, and its officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, successors and assigns, related companies, and all others holding by or through them, or controlled by them, or controlling them, or acting in privity or in concert with them, be preliminarily and permanently enjoined from:
 - use and/or disclosure or dissemination, in any manner, of Vextee Trade Secrets and confidential information;
 - engaging in or inducing any act in breach of the Former Vextee Employees Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreements;
 - (3) engaging in or inducing any act calculated to, likely to, or tending to unfairly compete with Vextee; and

- (4) hiring Vextec employees having knowledge of Vextec Trade Secrets and confidential information for the purpose of obtaining further information or further competing unfairly with Vextec.
- Defendants be ordered to immediately deliver to Vextee all materials and things embodying Vextee Trade Secrets and confidential information and that a designated representative of Vextee be permitted to inspect Sentient's premises upon reasonable notice to ensure the return of Vextee's property;
- Defendants be required to account for and pay all damages suffered by Vextee;
- q. Sentient be required to pay damages based on its unjust enrichment at Vextee's expense:
- Defendants be required to pay such exemplary or punitive damages based on its state law claims that this Court deems just and proper;
- Defendants be required to pay for the cost of this action, including Vextee's reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- Awarding Plaintiff such other relief, in law or in equity, which the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Vextee hereby requests a trial by jury.

Dated: October 28, 2011

Respectfully Submitted,

Andrew B. Campbells DPR 14258
WYATT, TARR&TT & COMBS, LLP
2525 West End Avenue, Suite 1500
Nashville, Tennessee 37203-1423
Phone: (615) 244-0020
Fax: (615) 256-1726
scampbell@wyattfirm.com
Comsul Vexte Corporation

Of Coursel
Blair M. Jacobs
bjacobs@mvc.com
Christina A. Ondrick
condrick@mwc.com
Rose S. Whelan
rwhelan@mwc.com
McDermott Will & Emery LLP
600 Thirteenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 756-8000
Fsix: (202) 756-8087

60059013.6

- 21, 2006 for a "Method and Apparatus For Predicting the Failure of a Component" (the "'825 rotent"), a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
- 3. Ward Thomas is a former employee of and consultant to Vextee who currently is a citizen of New York residing at 781 Van Rensselaer Avenue, Niagara Falls, New York 14305. Mr. Thomas worked for Vextee under a Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement dated July 14, 2006. Mr. Thomas is currently the president of Sentient, affiliates himself with Sentient and on information and belief, is also a shareholder of Sentient.
- 4. Kevin Line is a former employee of Vextee who currently is a citizen of Tennessee residing at \$116 Ashley Drive, Nashville, Tennessee 37211. Mr. Line worked for Vextee under a Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement dated April 10, 2005¹, and the currently works for Sentient
- Raja Pulikollu is a former employee of Vextee who currently is a citizen of India residing in Tennessee at 109 Honey Creek In. Nashville, Tennessee 37211. Mr. Pulikollu worked for Vextee under a Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement dated August 16, 2005. and he currently works for Sentient.
- 6. Matthew King is a former employee of Vextee who currently is a citizen of Tennessee residing at 2715 Eastland Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee 37206. Mr. King worked for Vextee under a Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement dated January 1, 2007, and he currently works for Sentient.
- Sentient Corporation is an idaho Corporation, with its principal place of business located at 850 Energy Drive, Suite 307, Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401. Sentient directly competes with Vestre.

¹ Mr. Line's Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement is dated April, 10, 2005. However, other Vextex documents confirm that Mr. Line's began his employment with Vextex on April 10, 2006. It amoracis that "2005" was inadvertently not changed to "2006" when the agreement was signed.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This Court has exclusive jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 9. This court also has supplemental jurisdiction of the state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367 because they are so related to the federal patent and trademark claims that they form part of the same case or controversy.
- 10. The Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in the State of Tennessee because they reside in this judicial district and division and/or they regularly transact business in this judicial district and division by, among other things, offering their products and services to the federal government, customers, business affiliates and/or partners located in this judicial district and division. In addition, a substantial part of the events giving rise to this claim occurred in this judicial district and division, including acts of direct infringement, contributory infringement, and/or inducement of infringement, of one or more of the claims of one or more of the Patents-in-Suit in this tudicial district and division.
- 11. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c), 1391(d) and 1400(b) because the De&ridants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this district, and a substantial part of the events giving rise to this claim occurred in this judicial district and division, including acts of infrincement.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- Access of The Vextee Former Employees to Vextee Patented Technology, Trade Secrets and Their Contractual Confidentiality Obligations
- 12. Mr. Thomas was employed by Vextee as a Vice President of Software Sales from 2006 through 2007 and was a consultant to Vextee from 2007 through 2008. In consideration of his employment Mr. Thomas entered into a Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement with

Vextoc, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 3, ("Thomas Confidentiality & Non-Duscloaure Agnrt."). Mr. Thomas' s Sales Consultant Agreement, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 4 ("Thomas Sales Consultant Agnrt.") also prevented unauthorized disclosure of Vextoc's confidential information and required that he "refrain from using Confidential Information for the advancement of any personal interests, including promotion of the interest of any future employer." Ext. 4, at para. 4 (a).

- 13. Mr. Line was employed by Vextee as a Program Manager from 2006 through 2009. In consideration of his employment with Vextee, on April 10, 2006, Mr. Line entered into a Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement with Vextee, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 5 ("Line Confidentiality & Non-Disclosure Agrnt.").
- 14. Mr. Pulikollu was employed by Vextee as a Project Engineer and Manager from 2005 through 2010. In consideration of his employment with Vextee, on August 16, 2005, Mr. Pulikollu entered into a Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement with Vextee, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 6 ("Pulikollu Confidentiality & Non-Disclosure Agant").
- 15. Mr. King was employed by Vextee as a Project Engineer and Manager from 2007 through 2010. In consideration of his employment with Vextee, on January 1, 2007, Mr. King entered into a Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement with Vextee, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 7 ("King Confidentiality & Non-Disclosure Agmt.").
- 16. On information and belief, while working for Vextee, the Former Vextee Employees learned of the '947 and '825 patents and became familiar with Vextee's patented technology.
- 17. While working for Vextee, the Former Vextee Employees also acquired confidential information and trade secrets, including, but not limited to technical data, proposals, marketing

information, client contacts, unique know-how and other information relating to Vextec's technology ("Vextec Trade Secrets"). Vextec's technology uniquely simulates the life expectancy of a manufactured component. The technology then combines that information with data from other components in a product to predict the product's overall reliability. Premature product failures in the U.S. cost between \$30 and \$40 billion annually in warranty payouts. Just as the mapping of DNA is changing how drugs are launched into the marketplace, Vextec's technology improves every aspect of a product's lifecyele, from design to maintenance, for components built or manufactured by innovative manufacturing companies in the aerospace, industrial equipment, energy, medical implant, and electronic industry segments. In 2009, Forbes magazzine named Vextee America's most promising young company, based substantially on the commercial potential of its innovative technology.

18. Vexuee Trade Secrets derive independent, actual and potential commercial value from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable through proper means by, other persons, who like Sentient, can obtain economic value from their disclosure or use. Specifically, Vextee Trade Secrets provide Vexuee conomic benefit by uniquely allowing a customer to predict the durability, performance and lifetime cost of machine parts by simulating the behavior of their component materials. By applying computational analytics to the manufacturing process in a manner protected by Vextee's trade secrets, the company is able to provide customers with a valuable tool that will save substantial time and money in the design and manufacturing process.

19. Vextee Trade Secrets are subject to reasonable efforts by Vextee to maintain secrecy, including, but not limited to, requiring employees to sign confidentiality and non-disclosure agreements, reminding employees of confidentiality obligations during out-processing from

employment, use of a secure internal document filing system, protection of proprietary source code, and password protection on files and computers.

- 20. In consideration of their employment with Vextee, and all compensation and benefits provided by Vextee, the sufficiency of which was specifically acknowledged, the Vextee Former Employees entered into Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreements with Vextee. Exhs. 3, 5-7.
- 21. The Vestee Former Employees' Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreements require them to maintain Vestee Trade Secrets and other confidential information in the strictest confidence during and after their employment with Vextee. Specifically, Paragraphs 1 and 2 state:
 - Employee hereby agrees and acknowledges that he has had and shall have access
 to, or is aware of, certain confidential, restricted and/or proprietary information
 concerning the Company and/or its affiliates. Employee hereby undertakes and agrees
 that he shall have a duty to the Company to protect such information from use by or
 disclosure to third parties.
 - Employee agrees, during and after the term hereof, not to, directly or indirectly. use, divulge, disclose or make accessible to any person, partnership, corporation, or other entity, or appropriate for his or her own use, or the use of any other person, partnership, corporation or other entity, any information, data, figures, sales information, customer lists, tax records, information relating to Company's business, operations, concepts, products or services, personnel history, patents or other intellectual property and accounting procedures concerning Company that are not generally available to the public. Employee agrees to maintain all information in strictest confidence and acknowledges and agrees that such information shall remain the sole and absolute property of the Company, and that upon the request of the Company, all copies thereof and all notes and summaries thereof prepared by either the Company or the Employee shall be returned promptly to the Company. Employee hereby agrees not to release any such confidential information to any person, firm, corporation or other entity, either by statement, denosition, or as a witness, except upon direct written authority of an Officer of the Company or as required by relevant principals of law or the order of a Court of competent jurisdiction. Company shall be entitled to an injunction issued by any competent court to enjoin and restrain the unauthorized disclosure of such information.

(Exhs. 3, 5-7, para. 1 and 2).

- 22. Vextee and the Former Vextee Employees contemplated that Vextee might have the need to resort to hitgation to protect the confidentiality of Vextee's confidential and proprietary information, and accounted for such contingency in the Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement. Specifically, Paragraph 5 states:
 - 5. Remedies. Employee understands and acknowledges that if he/she violates this Agreement. Company will saffer immediate and irreparable harm, therefore. Company will be entitled to obtain shipmedive relief, including an order prohibiting violations of this Agreement. The foregaing in no way limits the right of Company to obtain any other roundy at law of in equity to which it may be entitled, including but not limited to dumage. In any legal proceeding in which company obtains injunctive or other equitable relief or damages against Employee arising out of his/her violation of this Agreement. Company shall be entitled to recover from Employee is reasonable attemps; fees and costs to the extent approved by the court. The failure by Company to insist on Employee's compliance with this Agreement or to enforce it in any particular circumstance will not constitute a waiver by Company of its right to seek relief for any other or subsequent breach of this Agreement.

(Exhs. 3, 5-7, para. 5).

- 23. Prior to leaving Vextec, Masrs. Line, Pulikollu, and King reviewed their Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreements and received eopies, true and correct copies of which are attached hereto as Exhibits 8-10 ("Former Vextec Employees" Exit Review & Checklists").
- 24. Mr. Thomas's Sales Consultant Agreement also requires him to maintain Vextee Trade Secrets and other confidential information in the strictest confidence during and after his consultant relationship with Vextee. Specifically, Paragraph 4 (a) states:
 - 4. Confidentiality: Ownerable of Work Product.
 a. Consultant acknowledges that as a consultant to the Company he will have access to confidential information of the Company has and information of others which the Company has agreed to minitatin in confidence including, but not limited to, matters of business or technical nature, such as pricing data and nechods, surveys, propricty processes, research projects, inventions, current and future products, computer programs, customer and vender lists, projections, performance information, business and marketing strategic plans, financial statements and data, and other information of surfame nature to